2022-2023 Program Annual Report Master of Science in Counseling

Department of Social & Behavioral Sciences

Thomas University



Pauline Patrick, DSW, LCSW, CRC, Division Chair

Cristina Jones, Ph.D., CRC, Program Director and CACREP Liaison Angie Anderson, Ph.D., LPC Eddy Fagundo, Ph.D., CRC Jim Patrick, Ph.D., CRC Katrina Steele, Ph.D., LPC Todd Van Wieren, Ph.D., LPC Lisa Worthy, Ph.D., CRC

Table of Contents	
Program Summary Data	4
Introduction	4
Counseling Program Enrollment	4
Graduates/Job Placement Rate	4
Completion Rate	4
Licensure/Certification Exam Pass Rate	4
Professional Dispositions	4
Follow-up Survey	4
Key Performance Indicators, Assessments, and Summary of Evaluation Results	5
Key Performance Indicators	5
Summary of Program Evaluation Results	5
Program Modification	10
Program Modifications Related to Program Evaluation Results	10
Other Modifications	11
Program Accomplishments and Goals	12
Program Accomplishments	12
Program Goals	12

#### **PROGRAM SUMMARY**

#### Introduction

The Master of Science in Counseling (MSC) program at Thomas University made structural changes beginning in Fall 2021. The program moved from a Council for Accreditation of Counseling & Related Educational Programs (CACREP) dually-accredited Clinical Mental Health & Clinical Rehabilitation Counseling program (expiration October 2023) to a Master of Science in Counseling program with a foundational core and four specialization areas: (1) Clinical Mental Health Counseling (COU); (2) Clinical Rehabilitation Counseling (RCE); (3) School Counseling (PSC); and (4) Addiction Counseling (AAC). Throughout this change, program assessment data continued to be gathered, including the collection of quantitative and qualitative data used to inform our Continuous Plan for Improvement. The MSC program used the CACREP 2016 standards to conduct the assessment and evaluate annual results. This report serves to provides stakeholders with an overview of the program review results, program data, and program modifications that resulted from the annual review. In addition, stakeholders are provided with program accomplishments and goals for the next year.

### **Program Update**

Thomas University's Master of Science in Counseling (MSC) program is currently under review for CACREP accreditation reaffirmation. The complete self-study including the four specializations listed above, was submitted in June 2022. Notice of addendum requirements was received by TU's MSC in January of 2023 with a due date of May 31, 2023. The addendum is currently in its final stages prior to submission.

Since the dual accreditation expires in October of 2023, the final dual program students will graduate in Summer of 2023. It is expected that a site visit will be scheduled for Fall 2023 if CACREP is able to catch up the backlog that occurred due to Covid-19. If a site visit cannot be scheduled prior to the sunsetting of the dual program, CACREP has agreed to extend out TU's accreditation until which time the site visit can be scheduled.

#### **Counseling Program Enrollment**

In 2022-2023, 240 inquiries were made into the MSC program. 193 applicants were reviewed for the MSC program. Of those, 106 applicants were accepted and 75 applicants enrolled into the MSC program. This brought the number of students in the MSC program to 179. 87% were female and 13% male; African American (35%), Caucasian (49%) and Hispanic and Asian (16%).

#### **Graduates/Employment Rate**

In May 2023, 55 students graduated from the MSC program. In December 2022, a survey was done with all MSC graduates/alumni. Of those responding, 7% were military veterans, 19% identified as having disabilities, and 100% were employed in the field. TU's MSC program continues to demonstrate near perfect to perfect employment rates for its counseling graduates.

#### **Retention Rate**

Thomas University's MSC program is committed to student retention and employs various efforts to help students remain in good standing throughout their progression. Students in the MSC program must maintain a grade of a B or above in every course (20 courses minimum in this 60 credit hour program). The below tools are available to instructors in order to help students remain successful in their classes to retain them in the program:

Program Tool	Use	Who Implements it and When
Dropout Detective	Dropout Detective allows instructors to send alerts to advising for students who have fallen below an acceptable threshold in attendance and/or participation, leading to an unsatisfactory grade in the course. Students are contacted by advising to determine the status of the student's enrollment and to develop a plan to get the student back on track.	Course Instructor & Advisor At first notice of a failing grade
Advising	Students who have either personal or academic issues that are preventing them from maintaining a passing grade are referred for an advising appointment to determine the best course of action for improvement.	Course Instructors notify Program Director of Students of Concern so that an advising appointment can be scheduled for remediation
Synchronous Zoom Sessions	Synchronous Zoom sessions are offered for any student needing additional instruction or clarification on weekly course topics. Program instructors schedule either open Zoom meetings on a set day and time for students in the course to attend, or a private Zoom session can be scheduled according to the student's needs.	Course Instructors On a weekly basis or as needed

If despite all efforts to remediate a student to return them to satisfactory academic standing, that student continues to earn a failing grade, they are placed on an Academic Action Plan. Students in the graduate program are only able to be on an action plan once throughout their program of study. If they fall below a 3.0 GPA in a course a second time, they are dismissed from the program.

Of the 179 students enrolled in the MSC program in 2022-2023, only four action plans were completed. Of these four action plans, three students continued on in the program with no further problems and only one student was dismissed.

Academic Year	Enrollment	Graduates	Retention
2022-2023	179	55	98%
2021-2022	180	48	99%
2020-2021	159	31	89%
2019-2020	119	25	95%
2018-2019	101	20	90%

#### Licensure (LPC-LMHC) and Certification (CRC) Examination Pass Rate

Thomas University's MSC program continues to submit students to test for their NCC by endorsement. Students are able to sit for the NCE or NCMHCE once 75% of their coursework is completed. The usual schedule for testing is implemented once the student has reached their first fieldwork placement. This indicates to the Program Director that the student is likely in their last three semesters of the program and it is time to schedule their exam.

The names of all students who are qualified to take the exam are submitted to NBCC during the designated time frame and students are notified of the preparation they need to take to be ready for their testing date. Students are directed to the free Mometrix resources in TU's library system, as well as other testing materials that have helped previous students be successful on their NCE/NCMHCE exam. For the past year, we have had alumni who have taken and passed their NCE/NCMHCE return as guest speakers in the fieldwork courses to give current students tips about testing so that they too can be successful.

At the current time, we only have pass rates for the Spring 2022 sitting of the NCE. The Fall 2022 data has not yet been sent to the Program Director. For the Spring 2022 exam, 15 students tested and 12 students passed. The national pass rate was 63% and Thomas University's pass rate was 80%.

Exam Date	# S	itting		# Passing		% Passing
	Graduate	Student	Graduate	Student	Graduate	Student
Spring 2020	0	1	0	1	N/A	100%
Fall 2020	0	2	0	2	N/A	100%
Spring 2021	0	9	0	8	N/A	91.40%*
Fall 2021	0	9	0	6	0	67%
Spring 2022	0	15	0	12	0	80%

#### TU Master of Science in Counseling student and graduate NCE/NCMHC pass rates:

<u>CRC exam</u> – Fall 2021 is the most current CRC data we have to date. The pass rate for the CRC continues to be around 50% which is consistent with National Pass Rates. We continue to offer students additional resources, study materials, and the insight of alumni to help them prepare for the CRC exam. The CRC exam has been shown to be a difficult test, with some students reporting test anxiety and testing fatigue. This continues to be an area we focus our efforts and will report the most current testing data when it arrives from CRCC.

Exam Date	# Sitting		# Passing			% Passing	
	Graduate	Student	Graduate	Student		Graduate	Student
10/14/17 - 10/13/2018	7	8	2	5		39%	63%

10/14/2018- 7/31/2019	3	4	1	2	33%	67%
10/12/2019- 7/31/2020	3	4	1	2	33%	67%
10/2020- 7/2021	5	9	1	4	20%	44%
112021	5	1	1		2070	1170
10/2021	0	6	0	3	0	50%

# GaPSC GACE

Four candidates took and passed their aligned GACE content exam, a requirement for TU MSC School Counseling students in order to progress through the MSC program.

# **Professional Dispositions**

Faculty evaluate student skill and professional dispositions throughout the program utilizing Tevera's Counseling Competency Scale-Revised (CCS-R, Lambie.) Each faculty member completes a CCS-R on each student in each course, providing an abundance of data points on skill acquisition and professional disposition. Results of the data from the 2022 CCS-Rs is reported on pg. 22.

# **Fieldwork Site Supervisor Data**

Site supervisors complete a midterm and final evaluation of student skill during week 8 and week 15 of each fieldwork course to determine areas of strength and remediation in individual students, as well as, to provide programmatic data to address whether curriculum areas need to be strengthened to prepare students for practical work in the field. Students are assessed in 13 clinical areas using a 5-point Likert Scale. Scores of 3-5 indicate meeting-exceeding expectations, and scores of 2 and below are further analyzed to determine how individual remediation can be implemented to help students improve on those skills prior to enrollment in the succeeding fieldwork course.

Fieldwork evaluations assess student performance in the following areas:

- Assessment/Evaluation
- Human Growth and Development
- Treatment Planning and Case Management
- Career Development
- Counseling Interventions
- Group Counseling Interventions
- Community Resources
- Case File Recording and Reporting
- Ethically Sound Practice and Strategies
- Social and Cultural Diversity
- Job Placement
- Effective Workplace Relationships
- Work Habits

At midterm of each semester, fieldwork faculty run a #402 Report in Tevera, our online fieldwork and assessment platform. The 402 Report is run on each of fieldwork section to review scores and comments for each of the student trainees to determine if remediation needs to be implemented for skill or behavior due to unsatisfactory scores. The #402 report is a raw data report that shows the evaluation results of individual students in each fieldwork section. Any student showing a 2 or below in any area will be addressed and given support to improve those skills by final. At the end of each semester, faculty again run a #402 Report on each of their sections to determine if any student has received a score of 2 or below. Faculty supervisors are able to drill down to specific student reports to see if individual students need remediation or can run aggregate reports to show trends across fieldwork courses that point more to an overall program weakness.

In calendar year 2022, a total of n = 194 responses to midterm evaluations were submitted by site supervisors with the following results:

- 1.) **Professionalism**: n = 189 scores of 3 or above indicating satisfaction in this area. n = 2 responses of 0, indicating one supervisor left this question blank and one supervisor did not complete the evaluation in the given time frame. That supervisee shows a score of 0 in all assessment fields; and n = 3 responses of 2 (below expectations). Each of these students scoring a 2 were addressed through individual supervision, and showed improvement on final evaluation ratings.
- 2.) Ethics: n = 193 scores of 3 or above indicating students were performing satisfactorily in this domain and n = 1 showing a score of 0 due to site supervisor not completing the evaluation in the given time frame.
- 3.) Critical Thinking: n = 188 scores of 3 or above indicating site supervisor satisfaction in this area. n = 4 responses of 2 given to practicum students who are expected to show growth in this area with further training; and n = 1 showing a score of 0 due to site supervisor not completing the evaluation in the given time frame.
- 4.) **Diversity & Cultural Awareness:** n = 181 scores of 3 or above indicating proficiency in this area; n = 5 responses of a 2 indicating that students need further opportunity to demonstrate this skill on site; n = 8 scores of 0 indicating the site supervisor skipped this question in the evaluation, with 1 not completing the evaluation before the given timeframe.
- 5.) Assessment: n = 172 scores of 3 or higher indicating students have been given the opportunity to perform assessments on their site and can do so proficiently; n = 12 scores of 2, given to practicum students who have not had ample opportunity to apply assessment skills by midterm of their first fieldwork experience; and n = 10 scores of 0 indicating not observed, with 1 site supervisor not completing the evaluation in the given timeframe.
- 6.) **Treatment Planning:** n = 159 scores of three or above indicating students have been given the opportunity to complete this task and do so proficiently; n = 16 scores of 2, indicating by midterm students have either not performed this task proficiently or were not given enough opportunity to show this skill; and n = 19 scores of zero indicating n/a or no opportunity to observe, with 1 site supervisor not completing the evaluation in the given timeframe.
- 7.) **Engagement:** n = 192 scores of three or above indicating satisfactory engagement with clients and n = 2 scores of 0, with 1 site supervisor not completing the evaluation in the given timeframe.

- 8.) **Interventions:** n = 184 scores of three or above indicating satisfactory or above ratings on intervention implementation; n = 3 scores of 2, indicating by midterm students have either not had ample opportunity to be observed in this area or have not done so satisfactorily; and n = 7 scores of 0 indicating by midterm, intervention had not been implemented or site supervisor responded with N/A, with 1 site supervisor not completing the evaluation in the given timeframe.
- 9.) Workplace Skills: n = 191 scores of three or above indicating satisfactory or above ratings in the area of workplace skills; n = 2 scores of 2, each of which received individual supervision in this area and n = 1 score of zero due to site supervisor not completing the evaluation in the given timeframe.

In calendar year 2022, a total of n = 181 responses to final evaluations were submitted by site supervisors with the following results:

- 1.) Assessment/Evaluation: n = 171 scores of 3 or above with accompanying free response comments by site supervisors indicating satisfaction with student performance in this area. n = 1 scores of 2 (below expectations in this area.) Further analysis of free-response comments indicates that n = 1 will improve in this area with more practice and supervision on site; n=9 scores of zero that indicate supervisor responded with N/A. Evaluation of free responses indicate that these students were on their practicum placement and didn't have ample opportunity to perform this skill.
- 2.) **Human Growth and Development**: n = 181 scores of 3 or above with accompanying free response comments by site supervisors indicating satisfaction with student performance in this area.
- 3.) **Treatment Planning and Case Management:** n = 176 scores of 3 or above with accompanying free response comments by site supervisors indicating satisfaction with student performance in this area. n = 5 scores of 0 that indicate supervisor responded with N/A. Evaluation of free responses indicate that these students were on their practicum placement and didn't have ample opportunity to perform this skill.
- 4.) **Career Development**: n = 143 scores of 3 or above with accompanying free response comments by site supervisors indicating satisfaction with student performance in this area. n = 38 scores of 0 that indicate supervisor responded with N/A. Evaluation of free responses indicate that these students did not have ample opportunity to perform this skill or do not do career development at their placement site.
- 5.) **Counseling Interventions**: n = 181 scores of 3 or above with accompanying free response comments by site supervisors indicating satisfaction with student performance in this area. n = 0 scores of 2 (below expectations in this area.)
- 6.) **Group Counseling Interventions**: n=119 scores of 3 or above with accompanying free response comments by site supervisors indicating satisfaction with student performance in this area; n = 62 scores of 0 indicating that students have not been able to perform group counseling interventions during the semester. No unsatisfactory scores reported.

- 7.) **Community Resources:** n=160 scores of 3 or above with accompanying free response comments by site supervisors indicating satisfaction with student performance in this area and n = 21 scores of 0, indicating that student has not been able to implement community resources or a score of N/A was given. No unsatisfactory scores reported.
- 8.) Case File Recording and Reporting: n = 181 scores of 3 or above with accompanying free response comments by site supervisors indicating satisfaction with student performance in this area. n = 0 scores of 2 (below expectations in this area.) No unsatisfactory scores reported.
- 9.) Ethically Sound Practices and Strategies: n = 181 scores of 3 or above with accompanying free response comments by site supervisors indicating satisfaction with student performance in this area. n = 0 scores of 2 (below expectations in this area.) No unsatisfactory scores reported.
- 10.) **Social and Cultural Diversity**: n = 181 scores of 3 or above with accompanying free response comments by site supervisors indicating satisfaction with student performance in this area. n = 0 score of 2 (below expectations in this area.). No unsatisfactory scores reported.
- 11.) Job Placement: n = 143 scores of 3 or above with accompanying free response comments by site supervisors indicating satisfaction with student performance in this area. n = 38 scores of 0 that indicate supervisor responded with N/A. Evaluation of free responses indicate that job placement is not a part of agency tasks.
- 12.) Effective Workplace Relationships: n=181 scores of 3 or above with accompanying free response comments by site supervisors indicating satisfaction with student performance in this area. n = 0 scores of 2 (below expectations in this area.). No unsatisfactory scores reported.
- 13.) Work Habits: n = 181 scores of 3 or above with accompanying free response comments by site supervisors indicating satisfaction with student performance in this area. n = 0 score of 2 (below expectations in this area.). No unsatisfactory scores reported.

Overall, site supervisor evaluations indicate a 98-100% satisfactory rate with student performance in all assessed domains with the 2% of problematic results being resolved through further onsite training or remediation.

# Site Supervisor Evaluation of the Thomas University Masters of Science in Clinical Mental Health and Clinical Rehabilitation Counseling

Site Supervisors for all fieldwork courses were asked to evaluate the masters program using a 5-point Likert scale in the following areas:

- Student's overall educational Preparation
- Student's clinical ability
- Student's ethical behavior
- Student's theoretical knowledge
- Student's administrative skill
- Student's ability to recognize professional limitations and seek supervision
- Student's perception of support from university Faculty and Staff
- Student's professional disposition

100% of respondents scored Thomas University's master's program as meeting-exceeding expectations with no unsatisfactory scores in any area of the assessment. Feedback from supervisors will be incorporated into the fieldwork instructor training for the following semester so we can exceed expectations in all areas in the future.

Program faculty use a variety of measures to assess student success as part of the annual program evaluation. The program evaluation results are then used to determine program modifications. In 2022-2023, Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) were evaluated in all 8 CACREP core areas that represent foundational knowledge required of all entry level counselor education graduates including: (1) Professional Counseling Orientation and Ethical Practice; (2) Social and Cultural Diversity; (3) Human Growth and Development, (4) Career Development; (5) Counseling and Helping Relationships; (6) Group Counseling and Group Work; (7) Assessment and Testing; and (8) Research and Program Evaluation.

CACREP standards guide Key Performance Indicators. KPIs are assessed to determine student success and whether learning outcomes are met. Each course in the curriculum collects an artifact assignment that demonstrates a formative or summative assessment of student skill in a particular area of the course's student learning outcomes that encompasses an important area of mastery. Each student must score at least an 80% or above on each artifact assignment to successfully meet the KPI.

# Key Performance Indicators Evaluated 2022-2023

**Table 1** shows a summary of all KPIs (CACREP standard assessed by the artifact assignment), courses offered, the artifact assignment that is collected, and when and at what point in the semester it is collected.

KPI	, i	Signature (Artifact)	· ·	Course
	Core	Assessment	Collected	
	Curricular			
(1)	Area			
(1) Demonstrate	<b>2</b> E 1	Ethical Case Studies	Finat	
Demonstrate	2.F.1.	Ethical Case Studies:		COU 606/RCE 645:
awareness of	<b>D</b> 0 · 1	Rubric	Summer;	Ethics and
the professional	Professional		End of	Professional
role of	Counseling		Semester	Orientation
counseling and	Orientation			
apply the ACA,	and Ethical	Capstone Portfolio	Final 2	COU 698/RCE
ASCA,	Practice	1	Semesters;	698: Counseling
NAADAC, and		Rubric	End of	Capstone
CRC Code of		icuone	Semester	Cupstone
Ethics of			Semester	
counseling in a		Cara Daniana Pa	Ein -1 2	
legal and ethical			Final 3	COU 680/RCE
manner,		Final	Semesters;	680: Counseling
inclusive of		Reflection: Rubric	End of	Practicum
international			Semester	
		Case Review &	Final 3	COU 681/RCE 681
standards and		Clinical Content	Semesters;	Counseling Internship
cultural		Summary: Rubrics	End of	I
contexts.		-	Semester	

# Table 1: List of KPI, Course #, Artifact Assignments, and Semester of Collection

		Case Review & My Counseling Identity Final Paper: Rubric	Final Semester; End of Semester	COU 682/RCE 682: Counseling Internship II
(2) Identify and articulate an understanding of social,	2.F.2.	Multicultural Current Event: Rubric		COU 608/RCE 611: Psychosocial and Multicultural Aspects
economic, cultural, and environmental assets and obstacles which	Diversity	Capstone Portfolio & Presentation: Rubric	Final 2 Semesters; End of Semester	COU 698/RCE 698: Counseling Capstone
may present barriers to a client's		Disability/Functional Assessment Interview: Rubric	First Fall; Week 3	RCE 605: Medical Aspects of Disability
success.		Case Review & Final Reflection: Rubric	Final 3 Semesters; End of Semester	COU 680/RCE 680: Counseling Practicum
		Case Review & Clinical Content Summary: Rubrics	Final 3 Semesters; End of Semester	COU 681/RCE 681: Counseling Internship I
		Case Review & My Counseling Identity Final Paper: Rubric	Final Semester; End of Semester	COU 682/RCE 682: Counseling Internship II
(3) Demonstrate a working knowledge of human	2.F.3. Human Growth and	Life Span Development Research Paper: Rubric	First Spring; End of Semester	COU 612/RCE 688: Human Growth and Development
development and the needs of individuals with mental health, physical,		Capstone Portfolio & Presentation: Rubric	Final 2 Semesters; End of Semester	COU 698/RCE 698: Counseling Capstone
intellectual, and/or addiction disabilities		Disability/Functional Assessment Interview: Rubric	First Fall; Week 3	RCE 605: Medical Aspects of Disability
across the lifespan, including the effect of crises,		Case Review & Final Reflection: Rubric	Final 3 Semesters; End of Semester	COU 680/RCE 680: Counseling Practicum
disasters, trauma, or other events on		Case Review & Clinical Content Summary: Rubrics	Final 3 Semesters; End of Semester	COU 681/RCE 681: Counseling Internship I

persons of all ages.		5	Final Semester; End of Semester	COU 682/RCE 682: Counseling Internship II
(4) Assess and maximize the readiness of individuals for	2.F.4. Career Development	Labor Market Analysis: Rubric	First Fall; Midterm	COU 646/RCE 655: Foundations of Career Development and Job Placement
gainful employment and facilitate the reduction of environmental		Capstone Portfolio & Presentation: Rubric	Final 2 Semesters; End of Semester	COU 698/RCE 698: Counseling Capstone
and attitudinal barriers, in an ethical and		Disability/Functional Assessment Interview: Rubric	First Fall; Week 3	RCE 605: Medical Aspects of Disability
culturally relevant way.		Case Review & Final Reflection: Rubric	Final 3 Semesters; End of Semester	COU 680/RCE 680: Counseling Practicum
		Case Review & Clinical Content Summary: Rubrics	Final 3 Semesters; End of Semester	COU 681/RCE 681: Counseling Internship I
		5	Final Semester; End of Semester	COU 682/RCE 682: Counseling Internship II
(5) Demonstrate and apply a personal philosophy and	2.F.5. Counseling and Helping	Counseling Philosophy Paper: Rubric	First Fall; End of Semester	COU 627/RCE 620 Theories and Techniques of Counseling
identity as a mental health, rehabilitation, addiction, and/or school counselor based on an established counseling	Relationships	Capstone Portfolio & Presentation: Rubric	Final 2 Semesters; End of Semester	COU 698/RCE 698: Counseling Capstone
		Case Review & Final Reflection: Rubric	Final 3 Semesters; End of Semester	COU 680/RCE 680: Counseling Practicum
theory.		Case Review & Clinical Content Summary: Rubrics	Final 3 Semesters; End of Semester	COU 681/RCE 681: Counseling Internship I

		Case Review & My Counseling Identity Final Paper: Rubric	Final Semester; End of Semester	COU 682/RCE 682: Counseling Internship II
(6) Demonstrate a knowledge and use of clinical skills related to	2.F.6. Group Counseling	Group Role Play Experience: Rubric	First Spring; End of Semester	COU 628/RCE 622: Theories and Techniques of Group Counseling
directing group processes toward a desired goal.	and Group Work	Capstone Portfolio & Presentation: Rubric	Final 2 Semesters; End of Semester	COU 698/RCE 698: Counseling Capstone
		Case Review & Final Reflection: Rubric	Final 3 Semesters; End of Semester	COU 680/RCE 680: Counseling Practicum
		Case Review & Clinical Content Summary: Rubrics	Final 3 Semesters; End of Semester	COU 681/RCE 681: Counseling Internship I
		Case Review & My Counseling Identity Final Paper: Rubric	Final Semester; End of Semester	COU 682/RCE 682: Counseling Internship II
(7) Apply basic measurement concepts and	2.F.7. Assessment	Assessment Instrument Review: Rubric	Second Spring; Week 12	COU 634/RCE 630: Testing and Assessment
associated statistical terms and comprehend the validity,	and Testing	Capstone Portfolio & Presentation: Rubric	Final 2 Semesters; End of Semester	COU 698/RCE 698: Counseling Capstone
reliability, and appropriateness of assessments.		Case Review & Final Reflection: Rubric	Final 3 Semesters; End of Semester	COU 680/RCE 680: Counseling Practicum
		Case Review & Clinical Content Summary: Rubrics	Final 3 Semesters; End of Semester	COU 681/RCE 681: Counseling Internship I
		Diagnostic Assessment: Rubric	Last Summer; Week 5	COU 671/RCE 635: Abnormal Behavior

		5	Semester;	COU 682/RCE 682: Counseling Internship II
(8) Understand research methodology	2.F.8 Research and	Research Paper: Rubric	Spring Week	COU 673/RCE 672: Research and Program Evaluation
and program evaluation by applying evidence-based practice to	Program Evaluation	Capstone Portfolio & Presentation: Rubric	Semesters;	COU 698/RCE 698: Counseling Capstone
counseling.		U	Last Summer; Week 5	COU 671/RCE 635: Abnormal Behavior
		Assessment & Treatment Plan OR Create Your Own	End of	COU 613/RCE 665: Human Sexuality
		Drug: Rubrics	End of Semester	AAC678: Psychopharmacology
		Disability/Functional Assessment Interview: Rubric	Week 3	RCE 605: Medical Aspects of Disability
		Case Review & Final Reflection: Rubric	Semesters;	COU 680/RCE 680: Counseling Practicum
		Case Review & Clinical Content Summary: Rubrics	Semesters;	COU 681/RCE 681: Counseling Internship I
(9) Understand and apply the role and function of the counselor as	2.F.1 2.F.8. ALL	Fieldwork Experience- Facility Visit Paper: Rubric	Semester of Enrollment;	COU 602/RCE 601: Foundations and Techniques of Counseling
a member of interdisciplinary teams in a variety of settings.		Capstone Portfolio & Presentation: Rubric	Semesters;	COU 698/RCE 698: Counseling Capstone
		Case Review & Final Reflection: Rubric	Semesters;	COU 680/RCE 680: Counseling Practicum

	1			
		Case Review &	Final 3	COU 681/RCE
		Clinical Content	Semesters;	681: Counseling
		Summary: Rubrics	End of	Internship I
			Semester	
		Case Review & My	Final	COU 682/RCE
		Counseling Identity	Semester;	682: Counseling
		Final Paper:	End of	Internship II
		Rubric	Semester	
		Marriage & Family	Second	COU 664/RCE
		Research Paper;	Summer;	685: Marriage and
		Rubric	End of	Family Counseling
			Semester	
		Diagnostic	Last	COU 671/RCE 635:
		Assessment:	Summer;	Abnormal Behavior
		Rubric	Week 5	
		Assessment &	Last Spring;	COU 613/RCE
		Treatment Plan O	End of	665: Human
		Create Your Own	Semester	Sexuality
		Drug: Rubrics	Last Spring;	AAC678:
		2108.100100	End of	Psychopharmacology
			Semester	i syenopharmaeology
		Community	First	RCE 621: The Helping
		Resources Manual	Summer;	Professional: Case
		Project:	End of	Management &
		Rubric	Semester	Community
		KUUIIC	Semester	Resources
		Disability/Functional	First Fall:	RCE 605: Medical
		Assessment	Week 3	
		Interview: Rubric	WEEK 5	Aspects of Disability
(10)		Interview. Kublic		
(10) Identify and		Crisis Descurress	Casand Falls	COU(5)/DCE(07)
Identify and	2.F.1 2.F.8.			COU 656/RCE 607:
plan for the	A T T	Brochure: Rubric	Week 7	Crisis and Trauma
provision of	ALL			Counseling
culturally			E. 10	
competent		Capstone Portfolio	Final 2	COU 698/RCE
mental health,		& Presentation:	Semesters;	698: Counseling
rehabilitation,		Rubric	End of	Capstone
educational,			Semester	
and/or addiction	-	Case Review &	Final 3	COU 680/RCE
services to		Final Reflection:	Semesters;	680: Counseling
persons from		Rubric	End of	Practicum
marginalized			Semester	
and		Case Review &	Final 3	COU 681/RCE
underserved		Clinical Content	Semesters;	681: Counseling
populations.		Summary: Rubrics	End of	Internship I
			Semester	
		Case Review & My	Final	COU 682/RCE
		Counseling Identity	Semester;	682: Counseling
		Final Paper	End of	Internship II
		Rubric	Semester	

		Community Resources Manual Project: Rubric Marriage & Family Research Paper; Rubric	First Summer; End of Semester Second Summer; End of Semester	RCE 621: The Helping Professional: Case Management & Community Resources COU 664/RCE 685: Marriage and Family Counseling
		Diagnostic Assessment: Rubric Assessment &	Last Summer; Week 5 Last Spring;	COU 671/RCE 635: Abnormal Behavior COU 613/RCE
		Treatment Plan OR	End of Semester	665: Human Sexuality
		Create Your Own Drug: Rubrics	Last Spring; End of Semester	AAC678: Psychopharmacology
		Disability/Functional Assessment Interview: Rubric	First Fall; Week 3	RCE 605: Medical Aspects of Disability
(KPI 1 Addictions): Understand the potential for	5.A. Addiction	Addiction Treatment Plan: Rubric	Summer; Week 7	COU 657/RCE 603: Substance Abuse Counseling
addictive substances to mimic and/or co-occur with a variety of medical and psychological disorders and		Case Review & Final Reflection: Rubric	Final 3 Semesters; End of Semester	COU 680/RCE 680: Counseling Practicum
		0 7	Final Semester; End of Semester	COU 682/RCE 682: Counseling Internship II
implement strategies for helping clients			Last Summer; Week 5	COU 671/RCE 635: Abnormal Behavior
identify the effects of addiction on life		Create Your Own Drug: Rubric	Last Spring; End of Semester	AAC678: Psychopharmacology
problems.		Motivational Interview: Rubric	Last Summer: End of Semester	AAC 668: Co- Occurring Disorders
(KPI 1 CMHC): Understand the diagnostic process,	5.C.	Addiction Treatment Plan: Rubric	Second Summer; Week 7	COU 657/RCE 603: Substance Abuse Counseling

including differential diagnosis and the use of current diagnostic classification systems, such as the DSM and ICD, and implement evidence-based techniques and interventions for the prevention and treatment of a broad range of mental health issues.	Mental Health Specialization	Case Study- Diagnoses: Rubric	Second Spring; Week 15	COU 670/RCE 640: Psychopathology & Diagnosis
		Case Review & Final Reflection: Rubric	Final 3 Semesters; End of Semester	COU 680/RCE 680: Counseling Practicum
		5	Final Semester; End of Semester	COU 682/RCE 682: Counseling Internship II
		Diagnostic Assessment: Rubric	Last Summer; Week 5	COU 671/RCE 635: Abnormal Behavior
		Assessment & Treatment Plan Rubric	Last Spring; End of Semester	COU 613/RCE 665: Human Sexuality
		Motivational Interview: Rubric	Last Summer: End of Semester	AAC 668: Co- Occurring Disorders
(KPI 1. CLRC): Understand the effects of discrimination. such as handicapism,		Case Review & Final Reflection: Rubric	Final 3 Semesters; End of Semester	COU 680/RCE 680: Counseling Practicum
ableism, power, privilege, and oppression on a client's life and career development, as well as the effects of the onset, progression, and expected duration of disability on clients' holistic functioning.		Counseling Identity	Final Semester; End of Semester	COU 682/RCE 682: Counseling Internship II
		Assessment & Treatment Plan: Rubric	Last Spring; End of Semester	COU 613/RCE 665: Human Sexuality
		Community Resources Manual Project: Rubric	First Summer; End of Semester	RCE 621: The Helping Professional: Case Management & Community Resources
		Disability/Functional Assessment Interview: Rubric	First Fall; Week 3	RCE 605: Medical Aspects of Disability
(KPI 1 School): Understand the school counselor's roles as leaders,		Case Review & Final Reflection Rubric	Final 3 Semesters; End of Semester	COU 680/RCE 680: Counseling Practicum

advantage and	Caga Davian & Mr.	Final	COU 682/RCE 682:
advocates, and	Case Review & My		
systems change	Counseling Identity	Semester;	Counseling Internship
agents in p-12	Final Paper:	End of	II
schools, and	Rubric	Semester	
develop the	Assessment &	Last Spring;	COU 613/RCE 665:
skills to	Treatment Plan:	End of	Human Sexuality
critically	Rubric	Semester	
examine the			
connections	ASCA	First	RSC 600: Introduction
between social,	Implementation	Semester of	to Graduate Programs
familial,	Project Part 1	Enrollment;	C C
emotional, and	Rubric	Week 4	
behavior	Personal Genogram	Summer of	PSC 611: Counseling
problems on	Rubric	first or	Children and
academic		second	Families
achievement.		year	
	Learner Profile	Spring	PSC 624:
	Assessment Paper	Semester;	Collaborative
	Rubric	Preferred	Classrooms
		Second year	
	Culturally Relevant	Spring	PSC 625: Diversity
	Lesson Plan &	Semester;	and Exceptionality
	Reflection	Preferred	
	Rubric	Second	
		year	

All KPIs scored at 80% or higher for students retained in the program throughout the 2022-2023 academic year.

# **Program Findings & Recommendations**

# **Program Modifications**

# Modifications related to the Program Evaluation Results

Thomas University has a Plan of Continuous Improvement, also known as a Comprehensive Assessment Plan, (CAP) that outlines our approach to program evaluation. The components of the CAP are:



Tevera has been a very useful tool to run individual and aggregate reports to help inform the need of potential program modifications. The reports that the MSC program makes use of in Tevera include:

Report #402—Raw Data Report: shows all the raw data that has been submitted in response to particular assessments that we collect that are not in rubric format. The #402 report is run on the Midterm and Final Evaluations of fieldwork students, the results of which were described above.

Report #601 – Individual Student Rubric Report: shows how an individual student performed on an individual rubric

Report #603 – Group Rubric Analysis: shows a summary of how many students are scoring at each level for the criteria, as well as the breakdown of the mean, mode, and standard deviation of scores.

Report #604 – Accreditation Standards – Individual Student: shows a summary of the standards a student has been assessed on, the date of the assessment, and whether the student met the minimum acceptable ratings for that standard.

Report #605 – Accreditation Standards – Group Analysis: shows a complete list of accreditation standards and a breakdown of how many students met or did not meet the minimum acceptable rating for each standard.

Tevera uses custom rubrics to quantify student performance as a whole through the analysis of reports #604 – Accreditation Standards-Individual Student and #605-Accreditation Standards-Group Analysis, that are used for comprehensive data collection and reporting. Individual student signature assessments are entered into a form within Tevera by each student, each semester, for each signature assessment collected. Forms within Tevera are the primary data collection point and are added to each course template for every course in the MSC program. A rubric is created to align with the signature assessment and is composed of three parts: performance criteria, rating scales, and key performance indicators (KPIs). The rubric defines what is expected and what will be assessed. Each rubric can have multiple standards tied to a single criterion.

Rubric reports are run in Tevera to demonstrate scores students received on the rubric's rating scale, and the distribution of the mean, mode, and standard deviation of scores across the entire program. The signature assessments (artifact assignments) are tied not only to program KPIs but CACREP

standards as well, and these standards are the metrics used as measures to complete comparative evaluations. By aligning the criteria on our rubrics to assessment standards, the MSC program can pull reports on student outcomes each time they are evaluated on a rubric which ties to any of our program's standards, thus pulling comprehensive data from multiple assessment points. The report will then display whether students met or did not meet assessment standard benchmarks when assessed on rubrics tied to those standards. The collection and analysis of artifact assignments ties into the broader concept of program evaluation through the creation of discussion and dialogue among faculty at semester faculty meetings. It is at this time that the aggregate data is discussed to determine if curricular changes need to be made or clarified at the individual course level.

The key professional dispositions that Thomas University's Master of Science in Counseling program follows are reflected in the criteria of the CCS-R. Part 2 of the CCS-R assesses Counseling Dispositions and Behaviors on a 5-point Likert Scale with responses ranging from (5) Exceeds Expectations/Demonstrates Competencies to (1) Harmful. There is also the option to answer "Not Observed." Below is the list of TU's Key Professional Dispositions as transcribed from the CCS-R:

• Professional Ethics – Adheres to the ethical guidelines of the ACA, ASCA, IAMFC, APA & NBCC; including practices within competencies.

• Professional Behavior – Behaves in a professional manner towards supervisors, peers, and clients (e.g. emotional regulation), is respectful and appreciative of the culture of colleagues and is able to effectively collaborate with others.

• Professional & Personal Boundaries – Maintains appropriate boundaries with supervisors, peers and clients.

- Knowledge & Adherence to Site and Course Policies Demonstrates an understanding and appreciation for all counseling, site, and course policies and procedures.
- Record Keeping & Task Completion Completes all weekly record keeping tasks correctly & promptly (e.g. case notes, psychosocial reports, treatment plans, supervisory report).

• Multicultural Competence in Counseling Relationships – Demonstrates respect for culture (e.g. race, ethnicity, gender, spirituality, religion, sexual orientation, disability, social class, etc.) and awareness of and responsiveness to ways in which culture interacts with the counseling relationships.

• Emotional Stability & Self-Control – Demonstrates self-awareness and emotional stability (i.e. congruence between mood and affect) & self-control (i.e. impulse control) in relationships with clients.

• Motivated to Grow and Learn – Demonstrates engagement in learning and development of his or her counseling competencies.

- Openness to Feedback Responds non-defensively and alters behavior in accordance with supervisory &/or instructor feedback.
- Flexibility & Adaptability Demonstrates ability to adapt to changing circumstances, unexpected events and new situations.
- Congruence & Genuineness Demonstrates ability to be present and "be true to oneself."

Results of assessment data has shown that in Part 2 – Professional Behavior, the only key disposition that did not score a 100% was: Record Keeping and Task Completion. 20 of 772 responses were in the "red zone" showing that an unsatisfactory score was given. The overall satisfaction rate in this key disposition was still 97%. This is an area that will be addressed in the next full faculty meeting to determine how we can keep students more on task with their documentation and task completion.

Of 380 CCS-R reports completed in calendar year 2022, Part 1 scores, Counseling Skills & Therapeutic Conditions, showed only 1 response consistently in the Does Not Meet category. The

Program Director was able to go into the Raw Data to determine which student can be attributed to the below average scores so that remediation could be implemented.

# **Program Goals and Accomplishments**

# Program Accomplishments in 2022-2023

The Master of Science in Counseling program at Thomas University accomplished much in the 2022-2023 academic year. A few of the accomplishments are listed below.

- Developed and launched the restructured program, originally called the Clinical Rehabilitation & Clinical Mental Health Counseling (CRCMHC) program. The name changed to Master of Science in Counseling (MSC) and the structure changed to a program with core Foundational courses (45 credit hours) with four Specializations of 15 credit hours each.
- The first cohort of students are in the Professional School Counseling Specialization of the MSC program.
- We continue to run a \$1.9 million Health Resources & Services Administration (HRSA) Behavioral Health Workforce Education and Training Program for Professionals (BHWET) grant that provides stipends to students placed in Medically Underserved, Rural, or Health Care Professional Shortage Areas throughout their three Fieldwork courses. We have hired a full-time Fieldwork Coordinator and full-time Site Recruiter. Dr. Cristina Jones is the Principal Investigator in this grant.
- We continue to run 2 successful RSA grants that fund 11 and 12 students respectively.
- We have successfully submitted a complete self-study for accreditation reaffirmation and are currently working on the addendum which we feel shows the information that CACREP is looking for.
- We look forward to scheduling a site visit in the Fall.
- We continue to work closely with our site supervisors providing them with professional development opportunities.
- We continue to maintain a high retention rate.

# Program Goals 2023-2024

As part of the annual program evaluation, the faculty set goals for the next academic year. The goals are listed below.

- Work out any issues in the transition to the new structure of the MSC program including advising students.
- Continue intentional efforts on the HRSA BHWET grant including building relationships with other universities and sharing fieldwork resources.
- Continue intentional efforts for increasing the number of minority and underserved students recruited for the MSC program.
- Continue intentional efforts to train students holistically and provide them with professional development that prepares them for their careers.
- Continue to develop ways to incorporate content that prepares students for the NCMHCE.
- Continue to develop ways to improve the CRC pass rate.
- Successfully achieve accreditation reaffirmation.